



JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
OFFICE OF THE STATE BUDGET
LANSING

MARY A. LANNOYE
DIRECTOR

August 16, 2006

BUDGET LETTER – CAPITAL OUTLAY

TO: University and Community College Presidents

Fiscal Year 2008 Capital Outlay Budget Information
Due Date: October 27, 2006

Michigan colleges and universities are invited to submit a capital outlay project request for state cost participation in fiscal year 2008. Together with this request and pursuant to Section 242 (2) of 1984 Public Act 431, colleges and universities must also have on file with the Office of the State Budget, a Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan. Submission of this Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan is required regardless of whether a fiscal year 2008 capital outlay project request is submitted. No capital outlay project request will be considered for funding without its inclusion in a corresponding Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan.

Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan

The Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan should be revised as appropriate, and approved annually by the institution's governing body. The Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan is to evaluate all capital priorities in light of current programming efforts, anticipated programming changes, and the current capital base. At a minimum, the Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan should cover fiscal year 2008 through fiscal year 2012. It is to include both self-funded projects, and those in which state cost participation is requested. The Office of the State Budget, working with the Office of Facilities in the Department of Management and Budget, has developed a set of minimum criteria the comprehensive planning documents are to incorporate. These criteria are listed in Attachment "A" and remain largely unchanged from fiscal year 2007.

Capital Project Request

A college or university request for a capital project that is submitted will be carefully reviewed and evaluated, and balanced against other competing capital outlay and statewide budget priorities for inclusion in the Executive Budget

Recommendation. All capital project requests must comply with 1964 Public Act 183, the State Building Authority Act, regarding the use of State Building Authority bond revenues.

Pursuant to Office of the State Budget policy, only projects addressing specific academic or research needs will be supported. In addition, those projects which demonstrate significant return on investment in terms of economic development, job growth and sustainability will be given priority consideration. Preference will also be given to those projects that creatively re-adapt, re-use, or renovate existing facilities in order to utilize embedded infrastructure, and promote re-investment in central campuses. Single projects to renovate and/or construct multiple, independent facilities will not be considered.

The Office of the State Budget cautions that current and anticipated budget pressures indicate that minimal funding will be available for major capital projects. If any capital outlay projects are included in the fiscal year 2008 Executive Budget Recommendation, only planning authorization will be recommended, consistent with the capital outlay process in the DMB Act, 1984 Public Act 431. After planning is authorized in an appropriations bill, professional design documents must be prepared by the college or university in order to secure state support for construction. Once professional design documents have been completed and approved for authorized projects, state funding will provide a maximum of 75% for universities, and 50% for community colleges, of the total cost of each project.

Please be advised that planning authorization approval does not guarantee Executive support for future construction authorization. A full assessment of the State Building Authority bond cap vis-à-vis other potential capital outlay needs will be a pre-condition to advancing projects beyond the planning stage. In addition, the preliminary estimated cost for a proposed project, as provided by the college or university, will be transmitted to the Legislature. Projects whose final planning costs significantly exceed this original estimate will be carefully scrutinized, and may be subject to scope refinement.

Submission to the Office of the State Budget

Requests for capital outlay projects should be the logical extension of information contained in the comprehensive Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan. These planning documents are intended to provide state policymakers with the most current information available on institutional needs. Institutions can modify the

Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan as activity occurs within a fiscal year by submitting revised materials to the Office of the State Budget.

Due to budgetary pressures, universities and colleges should submit only their top priority capital outlay request. Attachment "B" contains the sample format for submitting fiscal year 2008 capital outlay budget requests. Please utilize this format to submit a capital project for consideration. If you desire a copy of the electronic template in either WordPerfect or Microsoft Word format, please e-mail Kris Kokx at kokxk@michigan.gov with your request.

*Please submit **three** copies of your 5-year comprehensive master plan, and **four** separate copies of your major capital outlay project request for fiscal year 2008 by **Friday, October 27, 2006**, to the attention of Ms. Lisa Shoemaker, Capital Outlay Coordinator, Office of Education and Infrastructure, Office of the State Budget, George W. Romney Building, 111 South Capitol, P.O. Box 30026, Lansing, Michigan 48909.*

Legislative Requirements

Institutions are also reminded that the Legislature often includes various approval and reporting requirements in annual appropriation acts, including but not limited to, prescribed Use and Finance Statement requirements for nonstate-funded projects in excess of \$1,000,000. The Office of the State Budget encourages universities and community colleges to carefully review both operating and capital outlay appropriations acts for such requirements, and to comply with the provisions as appropriate. Relevant Use and Finance Statement requirements effective for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006, are contained in Section 601 of Public Act 297 of 2005.

Thank you in advance for your submission. I look forward to working with you in developing the fiscal year 2008 Executive Budget Recommendation.

Sincerely,

Mary A. Lannoye
State Budget Director

University and Community College Presidents
August 16, 2006
Page 4

Attachments

cc: Budget and Financial Officers
President's Council
Michigan Community College Association
State Building Authority
Office of Facilities
House Fiscal Agency
Senate Fiscal Agency
Office of Education and Infrastructure

Recommended Five-Year Master Plan Components Michigan Universities and Community Colleges

I. Mission Statement

Summary description of the overall mission of the institution.

II. Instructional Programming

As part of the Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan, each college and university shall provide an overview of current academic programs and major academic initiatives. This “instructional programming” component should:

- a. Describe existing academic programs and projected programming changes during the next five years, in so far as academic programs are affected by specific structural considerations (i.e., laboratories, classrooms, current and future distance learning initiatives, etc.);
- b. Identify the unique characteristics of each institution’s academic mission:
For Universities:
Major research institution, technical/vocational center, geographic service delivery area(s), community presence activities, etc.
For Community Colleges:
Two-year degree and certificated technical/vocational training, workforce development activities, adult education focus, continuing or lifelong educational programming, partnerships with intermediate school district(s), community activities; geographic service delivery area(s), articulation agreements or partnerships with four-year institutions, etc.
- c. Identify other initiatives which may impact facilities usage;
- d. Demonstrate economic development impact of current/future programs (i.e., technical training centers, life science corridor initiatives, etc.).

III. Staffing and Enrollment

Colleges and universities must include staffing and enrollment trends in the annual Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan. This component should:

- a. Describe current full and part-time student enrollment levels by academic program and define how the programs are accessed by the student (i.e., main or satellite campus instruction, collaboration efforts with other institutions, Internet or distance learning, etc.);
- b. Project enrollment patterns over the next five years (including distance learning initiatives);
- c. Evaluate enrollment patterns over the last five years;
- d. Provide instructional staff/student and administrative staff/student ratios for major academic programs or colleges;

- e. Project future staffing needs based on five-year enrollment estimates and future programming changes;
- f. Identify current average class size and projected average class size based on institution's mission and planned programming changes.

IV. Facility Assessment

A professionally developed comprehensive facilities assessment is required. The assessment must identify and evaluate the overall condition of capital facilities under college or university control. The description must include facility age, use patterns, and an assessment of general physical condition. The assessment must specifically identify:

- a. Summary description of each facility (administrative, classroom, biology, hospital, etc.) according to categories outlined in "net-to-gross ratio guidelines for various building types," DMB-Office of Design and Construction Major Project Design Manual, appendix 7. If facility is of more than one "type", please identify the percentage of each type within a given facility.
- b. Building and/or classroom utilization rates (Percentage of rooms used, and percent capacity). Identify building/classroom usage rates for peak (M-F, 10-3), off-peak (M-F, 8-10 am, 3-5 pm), evening, and weekend periods.
- c. Mandated facility standards for specific programs, where applicable (i.e. federal/industry standards for laboratory, animal, or agricultural research facilities, hospitals, use of industrial machinery, etc.);
- d. Functionality of existing structures and space allocation to program areas served;
- e. Replacement value of existing facilities (insured value of structure to the extent available);
- f. Utility system condition (i.e., heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), water and sewage, electrical, etc.);
- g. Facility infrastructure condition (i.e. roads, bridges, parking structures, lots, etc.);
- h. Adequacy of existing utilities and infrastructure systems to current and 5-year projected programmatic needs;
- i. Land owned by the institution, and include a determination of whether capacity exists for future development, additional acquisitions are needed to meet future demands, or surplus land can be conveyed for a different purpose.
- j. What portions of existing buildings, if any, are currently obligated to the State Building Authority and when these State Building Authority leases are set to expire.

In the event that comprehensive, current physical facility assessments are not available, the Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan must include data from the most recent physical facility assessment and describe the schedule by which a new assessment will be completed.

V. Implementation Plan

The Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan should identify the schedule by which the institution proposes to address major capital deficiencies, and:

- a. Prioritize major capital projects requested from the State, including a brief project description and estimated cost, in the format provided. (Adjust previously developed or prior years figures utilizing industry standard CPI indexes where appropriate).
- b. If applicable, provide an estimate relative to the institution's current deferred maintenance backlog. Define the impact of addressing deferred maintenance and structural repairs, including programmatic impact, immediately versus over the next five years.
- c. Include the status of on-going projects financed with State Building Authority resources and explain how completion coincides with the overall Five-Year Capital Outlay Plan.
- d. Identify to the extent possible, a rate of return on planned expenditures. This could be expressed as operational "savings" that a planned capital expenditure would yield in future years.
- e. Where applicable, consider alternatives to new infrastructure, such as distance learning.
- f. Identify a maintenance schedule for major maintenance items in excess of \$1,000,000 for fiscal year 2008 through fiscal year 2012.
- g. Identify the amount of non-routine maintenance the institution has budgeted for in its current fiscal year and relevant sources of financing.

[College/University Name]
FY 2008 CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECT REQUEST
 [Project Name]
 [Total Project Cost \$—————]

Is The Project A Renovation or New Construction ?	Ren ____ New ____
Is There a 5-Year Capital Outlay Plan Available ?	Yes ____ No ____
(Projects will not be approved without a current Five-Year Plan on file with the State Budget Office)	
Are Professionally Developed Program Statement and/or Schematic Plans Available Now?	Yes ____ No ____
Are Match Resources Currently Available ?	Yes ____ No ____
Has the University Identified Available Operating Funds?	Yes ____ No ____

A. Project Description Narrative

Please include a general description of the project purpose. Also include the following items: new construction; renovation and/or addition; gross sq. ft; estimated total cost of project and estimate for each component or “phase” where applicable; estimated start and completion dates for construction; and estimated annual operating cost and fund source; impact on tuition and fee rates charged to students. Utilize as many pages as necessary, with an emphasis on conciseness.

B. Other Alternatives Considered

What alternative methods of addressing this capital project request were considered; i.e., long distance learning, renovation of other buildings on campus, re-evaluation of need for program, leasing of space, etc. Why were these alternatives not chosen? What are the programmatic implications should this project not be funded?

C. Programmatic Benefit to State Taxpayers and Specific Clientele or Constituencies

What is the benefit to state taxpayers for investing their tax dollars in this project? What is the benefit to students or other clientele or constituencies? What is the potential return on investment for this project?

D. Funding Resources

(Please provide as much information as possible including; fund source(s) identified for this capital outlay project – federal, state, private; and time frame for availability). Those willing to exceed minimum matching requirements will receive additional consideration.